



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/927,983	08/10/2001	Frank Morrison	12893	5987	
7590 11/17/2004			EXAMINER		
KLEINBERG & LERNER, LLP Suite 1080			NAWAZ, ASAD M		
2049 Century Park East			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Los Angeles, CA 90067			2155		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/927,98	3	MORRISON ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Asad M Na	waz	2155				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE MAILING [ - Extensions of time is after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for repl - If NO period for rep - Failure to reply with Any reply received	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 HS from the mailing date of this communication. ly specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- ly is specified above, the maximum statutory perior in the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- by the Office later than three months after the maili- adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu d will apply and will ite, cause the appli	nt, however, may a reply be time tory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cation to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠ Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on 10 /	<u>August 2001</u> .						
2a) ☐ This actio	n is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is no	on-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) _ 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
Application Papers	s							
10)⊠ The drawing Applicant response Replacement	fication is objected to by the Examinag(s) filed on 10 August 0200 is/are may not request that any objection to the ent drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 11 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to by the Example 12 including the correct declaration is objected to be a correct declaration in the correct declaration is objected to be a correct declaration in the correct declaration is objected to be a correct declaration in the correct declaration in the correct declaration in the correct declaration in the corre	e: a)⊠ accep e drawing(s) be ection is require	e held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 L	J.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
Attachment(s)								
	erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	8)	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/927,983 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-3 are presented for examination.

2. Applicant's claim of priority has been acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claim 1 recites the limitation "themselves" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Epard et al (US Patent No 5,241,625) further in view of Hickman (US Patent No 6,173,332).

As to claim 1, Epard teaches a host computer for enabling peer-to-peer transfer of data over a computer network between a plurality of guest computers which are themselves operatively connectable over a computer network, the host computer

comprising: a host computing machine operatively connectable to a computer network;

(Abstract; Fig 2; Fig 5; col 3, lines 5-14 and 30-43)

a memory storage device operatively connected to said host computing machine; and a computer program, stored in said memory storage device and executable by said host computing machine; (col 3, lines 30-43; col 5, lines 4-6; col 9, lines 41-48; col 13, lines 45-60; col 24, lines 62-67)

said computing machine executing said computer program to perform the steps of: over a computer network, establishing connection to a plurality of guest computers; (col 3, lines 30-43; col 9, lines 41-48; col 13, lines 45-60)

for each of said plurality of guest computers, determining an IP address, a web browser type, a guest computer type, and a screen sharing software type; (col 15, lines 15-20; col 17, lines 45-60; col 55, lines 39-60; col 48, lines 28-68 and col 49, lines 1-27)

However, Epard does not explicitly indicate transferring a hypertext markup language page to each of said plurality of guest computers, said page containing a link with active code; said active code containing data and containing instructions executable on each respective one of said plurality of guest computers, said data and instructions causing the operation of a screen sharing program on said respective one of said plurality of guest computers, said data and instructions further causing the transfer of data from one to another of said plurality of guest computers.

Hickman teaches a host computer for enabling peer-to-peer transfer of data over a computer network between a plurality of guest computers which are themselves operatively connectable over a computer network, the host computer comprising: a host

computing machine operatively connectable to a computer network; a memory storage device operatively connected to said host computing machine; and a computer program, stored in said memory storage device and executable by said host computing machine; said computing machine executing said computer program to perform the steps of: over a computer network, establishing connection to a plurality of guest computers; (Abstract; Fig 1; Fig 2; Fig 13; col 4, lines 4-22; col 8, lines 8-20; col 10, lines 1-18; col 12, lines 40-55; col 15, lines 50-55)

for each of said plurality of guest computers, determining an IP address, a web browser type, a guest computer type, and a screen sharing software type; (Abstract; Fig 15; col 9, lines 45-67; col 10, lines 19-30; col 14, lines 4-7; col 18, lines 64-67 and col 19, lines 1-23)

to each of said plurality of guest computers, transferring a hypertext markup language page, said page containing a link with active code; (Fig 3a; col 4, lines 4-22; col 8, lines 52-58)

said active code containing data and containing instructions executable on each respective one of said plurality of guest computers, said data and instructions causing the operation of a screen sharing program on said respective one of said plurality of guest computers, said data and instructions further causing the transfer of data from one to another of said plurality of guest computers. (col 10, lines 1-8; col 11, lines 1-67 and col 12, lines 1-40; col 15, lines 14-30)

It would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Hickman into those of Epard in

Application/Control Number: 09/927,983

Art Unit: 2155

order to make the system computationally efficient and the increase system functionality. "As communication over wide are networks, such as the Internet, becomes faster, it becomes practical to distribute certain functionality across the network." Also, the system would be more accessible to users through the Internet and WWW. The system would "allow a client computer user to diagnose and fix problems on a host computer, run application programs that are available on host computer, perform maintenance on the host computer, etc." (Hickman: col 3, lines 10-35; col 3 and 4, 63-67 and 1-3; col 5, lines 57-67)

As to claim 2, Hickman teaches a host computer as set forth in claim 1, wherein said transfer of data from one to another of said plurality of guest computers is accomplished without subsequent connection of any of said plurality of guest computers to said host computer. (Abstract; col 4, line 40-41; col 11, lines 1-67 and col 12, lines 1-40)

As to claim 3, Epard teaches a method of viewing on a guest computer, over the Internet, a file which is stored on a remote computer, the method comprising the steps of: providing a remote computer operatively connected to the Internet, said remote computer comprising a screen sharing program, and a memory containing in machine readable form a file which is viewable with the aid of said remote computer screen sharing program; (Abstract; Fig 2; Fig 5; col 3, lines 5-14 and 30-43; col 5, lines 4-6; col 9, lines 41-48; col 13, lines 45-60; col 24, lines 62-67)

Application/Control Number: 09/927,983

Art Unit: 2155

providing a guest computer a guest computer screen sharing program, said guest computer screen sharing program being capable of viewing said file stored in said memory of said remote computer; (col 3, lines 30-43; col 9, lines 41-48; col 13, lines 45-60)

operating said guest computer to identify the IP address of said remote computer; operating said remote computer and to construct on said web server a representation of remote computer parameters identified with said remote computer, said remote computer parameters including a remote computer IP address and a remote computer screen sharing software type; (col 15, lines 15-20; col 17, lines 45-60; col 55, lines 39-60; col 48, lines 28-68 and col 49, lines 1-27)

However, Epard does not explicitly indicate a method of viewing on a guest computer, over the Internet, a file which is transmissible over the Internet by said remote computer with the aid of said remote computer web browsing program; providing a guest computer operatively connected to the Internet and a guest computer web browsing program being capable of receiving over the Internet said file stored in said memory of said remote computer; providing a web server operatively connected to the Internet, said web server comprising a web server web browser program; operating said guest computer and said web server to construct on said web server a representation of guest computer parameters identified with said guest computer, said guest computer parameters including a guest computer screen sharing software type and a guest computer its web browser type; operating said remote computer and said web server to

said file on said guest computer.

construct on said web server a representation of remote computer parameters identified with said remote computer, operating said web server and said guest computer to transmit to said guest computer a web page with active code, said active code being executable on said guest computer, said active code being determined by said guest computer parameters and said remote computer parameters; and executing said active code on said guest computer to operate said guest computer and said remote computer

to transmit said file from said remote computer to said guest computer and to display

Page 7

Hickman teaches a method of viewing on a guest computer, over the Internet, a file which is stored on a remote computer, the method comprising the steps of: providing a remote computer operatively connected to the Internet, said remote computer comprising a remote computer web browser program, a screen sharing program, and a memory containing in machine readable form a file which is viewable with the aid of said remote computer screen sharing program and transmissible over the Internet by said remote computer with the aid of said remote computer web browsing program; (Abstract; Fig 1; Fig 2; Fig 13; col 4, lines 4-22; col 8, lines 8-20; col 10, lines 1-18; col 12, lines 40-55; col 15, lines 50-55)

providing a guest computer operatively connected to the Internet, said guest computer comprising a guest computer web browser program and a guest computer screen sharing program, said guest computer screen sharing program being capable of viewing said file stored in said memory of said remote computer, said guest computer web browsing program being capable of receiving over the Internet said file stored in

said memory of said remote computer; (Abstract; Fig 1; Fig 2; Fig 13; col 4, lines 4-22; col 5, lines 57-65)

providing a web server operatively connected to the Internet, said web server comprising a web server web browser program; (Abstract, Fig 1)

operating said guest computer and said web server to construct on said web server a representation of guest computer parameters identified with said guest computer, said guest computer parameters including a guest computer screen sharing software type and a guest computer its web browser type, operating said guest computer and said web server to identify to said web server the IP address of said remote computer; operating said remote computer and said web server to construct on said web server a representation of remote computer parameters identified with said remote computer, said remote computer parameters including a remote computer IP address and a remote computer screen sharing software type; (Abstract; Fig 15; col 9, lines 45-67; col 10, lines 19-30; col 14, lines 4-7; col 18, lines 64-67 and col 19, lines 1-23)

operating said web server and said guest computer to transmit to said guest computer a web page with active code, said active code being executable on said guest computer, said active code being determined by said guest computer parameters and said remote computer parameters and executing said active code on said guest computer to operate said guest computer and said remote computer to transmit said file from said remote computer to said guest computer and to display said file on said guest

computer. (Fig 3a; col 4, lines 4-22, col 8, lines 52-58; col 10, lines 1-8; col 11, lines 1-67 and col 12, lines 1-40; col 15, lines 14-30)

It would have been obvious for one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Hickman into those of Epard in order to make the system computationally efficient and the increase system functionality. "As communication over wide are networks, such as the Internet, becomes faster, it becomes practical to distribute certain functionality across the network." Also, the system would be more accessible to users through the Internet and WWW. The system would "allow a client computer user to diagnose and fix problems on a host computer, run application programs that are available on host computer, perform maintenance on the host computer, etc." (Hickman: col 3, lines 10-35; col 3 and 4, 63-67 and 1-3; col 5, lines 57-67)

#### Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M Nawaz whose telephone number is (703) 305-0094. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/927,983

Art Unit: 2155

Page 10

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

anyl

HOSAIN ALAM